

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 6847 of 1996

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE N.N.MATHUR

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

BABUBHAI RAJABHAI PATEL

Versus

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE

Appearance:

MR VIJAY H PATEL for Petitioner

Shri Kamal M. Mehta, AGP for the respondent

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE N.N.MATHUR

Date of decision: 05/11/96

ORAL JUDGEMENT

By way of this Special Civil Application, the petitioner has challenged the order of detention dated 18.6.1996 passed by the Commissioner of Police, Ahmedabad City under the provisions of Gujarat Prevention of Anti Social Activities Act, 1985 (hereinafter referred to as "the Act"). It is alleged that the petitioner is a dangerous person within the meaning of section 2(c) of the Act. It is stated that a case against the petitioner came to be registered being C.R. No.120/96 at Vatva

Police Station. This Court on 6.9.1996 made rule returnable on 4.10.1996. The respondents have not filed any reply. However, the Special Civil Application is being opposed by Shri Kamal Mehta, learned AGP. It is contended by Shri Anil S. Dave, learned counsel for the petitioner that simply because some cases have been registered against the petitioner for offences under IPC, he cannot be branded as a dangerous person. He relies on the decision of the Apex Court in the case of Mustakmiya Jabbarmiya Shaikh v. M.M. Mehta, Commissioner of Police and others, (1995) 3 SCC 237.

2. I have gone through the relevant material on record with the assistance of the learned counsel. There is substance in the contention of the petitioner. Simply because some stray cases have been registered against a person he cannot be branded as a dangerous person. There is no material on record to indicate that the petitioner is a dangerous person. In view of this the detention of the petitioner is illegal and requires to be quashed and set aside.

3. In view of the aforesaid, the Special Civil Application is allowed and the order of detention dated 18.6.1996 is quashed and set aside. The petitioner is directed to be released forthwith, if he is not required in any other case. Rule is made absolute.

-oOo-

karim*